

16/04(07)

---

**CASE NUMBER: 04/02612/DVCMAJ**  
**GRID REF: EAST 433260 NORTH 452830**

---

**APPLICATION NO.:** 6.121.42.AA.DVCMAJ

**LOCATION:**

Rudding Caravan Park Rudding Park Follifoot Harrogate North Yorkshire

**PROPOSAL:**

Variation of Condition No.2 of Permission No. 6.121.42.Y.FULMAJ to allow occupancy of the chalets by the same occupants for the period of the open season, to and including 1 March and 31 January each year.

**APPLICANT:** Rudding Park Ltd

APPROVED subject to the following conditions:-

- 1 The proposed units shall not be used for any purpose other than holiday accommodation and shall not be used for permanent residential accommodation.

Reasons for Conditions:-

- 1 The provision of permanent residential units would be unacceptable in this location.

**JUSTIFICATION FOR GRANTING CONSENT:**

In granting permission for this development the local planning authority has taken into account all relevant policies in national Planning Policy Guidance Notes or other statements of Government Policy, Regional Planning Guidance, the local Development Plan, emerging policy and Supplementary Planning Guidance and Documents approved by the Borough Council for development control purposes, and all other material planning considerations, including those arising from the observations of any statutory or non-statutory consultee and representations from the Parish, Town or City Council and the public about the application. On balance, the local planning authority considers the development would not give rise to any unacceptable consequences for sustainable development and economic development; social inclusion; protection of the environment; and prudent use of resources or other public interests of acknowledged importance.

(Councillors Bayliss and Smith declared interests in this item, and on the basis that their interests were prejudicial, left the room prior to discussion of the item).

Councillor Sturdy assumed the Chair for this item.

(Mr Goodwin (applicant's agent) attended the meeting and spoke to the item to answer questions only under the Council's Opportunity to Speak Scheme).

(Four Members voted for the motion, two voted against and there was one abstention).